

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/830,011	07/23/2001	Mark Leslie Smythe	065064/0135	9310
	590 10/18/2004		EXAMINER	
FOLEY AND LARDNER SUITE 500			CLOW, LORI A	
3000 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1631 DATE MAILED: 10/18/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 10) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 21) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 22) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 23) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Lori A. Clow, Ph.D. 1831		09/830,011	SMYTHE ET AL.				
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. If the period for reply specified dove is less than thisty (0.0 days, a reply within the shinking minimum of thisty (0.0) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified dove is less than thisty period vall again and the state (0.0) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified dove, the maximum stations period vall again and vall explicit (0.0) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified dove, the maximum station period vall again and vall explication is mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified dove, the maximum date of the communication, went if thisly field, may reduce any reply received by the Office lister than three months after the mailing date of this communication. Provided by the office lister than three months after the mailing date of this communication, went if thisly field, may reduce any reply maximum allowed. Provided by the office lister than three months after the mailing date of this communication. Provided by the office lister than three months after the mailing date of this communication. Provided by the communication of the maximum and the communication. Provided by the communication of the maximum and the communication. Provided by the communication of the maximum and the communication. Provided by the communication of the maximum and the communication. Provided by the communication of the maximum and the communication. Provided by the communication of the maximum and the communication. Provided by the communication of the communi	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Edemands of time may be vaisable under be provided on the communication of 30 (78 ± 136). In no event, horsever, may a reply be timely filed after \$V, (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply section date on a lease than this (70) days, and valid experts of \$V, (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication and the period for reply vertice the section of the communication of the communicatio		Lori A. Clow, Ph.D.	1631				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. • Edential of thermap is a variable under the prioritions of 37 CFR 1.13(e). In no event, however, may a reply be limited fixed • If the period for reply specified above is less than thiry (30) days, a reply within the studiory minimum of thiry (30) days will be considered timely. • If the period for reply specified above is the set than thiry (30) days, a reply within the studiory minimum of thiry (30) days will be considered timely. • If the period for reply specified above is the state than thiry (30) days, a reply within the studiory minimum of thiry (30) days will be considered timely. • If the period for reply specified above is the state than thiry (30) days, a reply within the studiory minimum of the period for reply will be stated above the state than the state of the communication, even if timely (incl., may reduce any vendor patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 23 July 2004. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.3.4.16.17.27.28.31.38 and 40-44 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1.3.4.16.17 and 39 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 3.1-3.43.53 and 40-44 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 3.7-28. and 35 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1.5/are objected to. 8) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 1.5/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 27. 28. and 35 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1.5/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The previous file of the priority documents have been received in Application Pro-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 10) Alsom	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 July 2004. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.3.4.16.17.27.28.31-38 and 40-44 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1.3.4.16.17 and 39 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 31-34.36-38 and 40-44 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 27.28. and 35 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: all accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the international Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin	136(a) In no event, however, may a reply be till y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ARANDONIA	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.				
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.3.4.16.17.27.28.31-38 and 40-44 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1.3.4.16.17 and 39 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 31-34.36-38 and 40-44 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 27.28. and 35 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Status						
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.3.4.16.17.27.28.31-38 and 40-44 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1.3.4.16.17 and 39 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 31-34.36-38 and 40-44 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 27.28. and 35 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 July 2004.						
Closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.3.4.16.17.27,28.31-38 and 40-44 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1.3.4.16.17 and 39 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 31-34.36-38 and 40-44 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 27, 28, and 35 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.						
Application by Claim(s) 1.3.4.16.17.27.28.31-38 and 40-44 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1.3.4.16.17 and 39 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1.3.4.16.17.27.28.31-38 and 40-44 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1.3.4.16.17 and 39 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 31-34.36-38 and 40-44 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 27. 28, and 35 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 4Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1 ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) ☐ Paper No(s)/Mail Date	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1.3.4.16.17 and 39 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) 31-34.36-38 and 40-44 is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 27.28, and 35 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. □ 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Disposition of Claims						
5) ☐ Claim(s) 31-34,36-38 and 40-44 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 27, 28, and 35 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s)	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,16,17,27,28,31-38 and 40-44</u> is/are pending in the application.						
6) Claim(s) 27, 28, and 35 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 10 Notice of References Cited (PTO-92) 21 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 22 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) All continued in the certified copies in the certified copies not received. Altachment(s) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Sol Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Sol Interview Summary (PTO-152)							
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Attachment(s) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Attachment(s) Altachment(s) A linterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	8) Usim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Ohlow the property of the priority documents have been received. Ohlow the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Ohlow the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Ohlow the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). Ohlow the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). Ohlow the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application Papers						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/58/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 10 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	11) I he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. *Attachment(s) Output							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. *Attachment(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Attachment(s) Output							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) A) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) A) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. S) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)						
2) Wotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary ((PTO-413)				
	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				

Application/Control Number: 09/830,011

Art Unit: 1631

DETAILED ACTION

Applicants' arguments, filed 23 July 2004, have been fully considered. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous office actions are hereby withdrawn. The following rejections and/or objections are either reiterated or newly applied. They constitute the complete set presently being applied to the instant application.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 16, 17, 27, 28, 31-38, 40-44 are currently pending. Claims 1, 3, 4, 16, 17, and 39 are withdrawn for being directed to a non-elected invention. Applicant is reminded that a complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 27 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention lacks patentable utility. Claims 27 and 28 are directed to a computer-readable medium encoded with a program for searching a protein database. However it is not clear what result is produced by the said computer-readable medium. The "usefulness" of searching a protein database that includes the steps of comparing a query and identifying hits by the recited steps is not apparent, as there is no recited outcome of the said computer-readable medium for searching a protein database. Claim 28 does not rectify the problem by further comparing each hit to select one or more hits having 3D structural similarity to a sample protein because it is still not apparent what the usefulness of such a program is. It is noted that in order for this program to be useful for these

Application/Control Number: 09/830,011

Art Unit: 1631

purposes, other information is required, such as identification or engineering of an actual target or framework protein or by following detailed steps that utilize specific parameters to perform the methods of the program. Utilities that carry out further research to identify or reasonably confirm a "real world" context of use are not substantial utilities (See MPEP 2107.01). Further, as set forth in Brenner v. Mason (148 USPQ 689 (1966)) and In re Ziegler (26 USPQ2d 1600), the "usefulness" of an invention must be immediately apparent to those familiar with the technological field of the invention. As further research, mathematical calculations, and method steps would be required to "use" the instant method and system the apparent result of the method and system is not "immediately useful" and lacks utility.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

New Matter-112, 1st Paragraph

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 27 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claims 27 and 28 recite "a computer-readable medium encoded with a program". However, the specification fails to disclose a "computer-readable medium". The specification discloses only a computer program. For example, at page 10, lines 18-20, the specification states

Application/Control Number: 09/830,011

Art Unit: 1631

that the instant invention "resides in a computer program", but fails to describe that this program is contained in a computer-readable medium.

Vague and Indefinite-112, 2nd Paragraph

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 28 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 28 recites "a computer-readable medium encoded with a program which filters said hits identified at step (ii) of claim 27..." It is unclear if this claim is intended to be a further limitation of claim 27 or if it is a completely different product. Clarification is requested.

Claim 35 recites "protein has increased structural similarity compared to said sample protein". It is unclear what is meant by increased structural similarity? How could a protein have an increased similarity to another protein? Perhaps Applicant intends that the claim read "increased structural stability" as is disclosed on page 22 of the specification. Clarification is requested.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 31-34, 36-38, and 40-44 are allowable, as the prior art does not anticipate or fairly suggest a method of protein engineering including the steps recited in the claims.

Claims 27, 28, and 35 are rejected for the reasons set forth above.

Art Unit: 1631

Inquiries

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technical Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technical Center 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (See 37 CFR § 1.6(d)). The CM1 Fax Center Number is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lori A. Clow, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (571) 272-0715. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 10 am to 6:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael P. Woodward, Ph.D., can be reached on (571) 272-0722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to (571) 272-0547.

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public.

October 14, 2004 Lori A. Clow, Ph.D. Art Unit 1631 MARJORIE MORAN
PATENT EXAMINER

Jaciford (1 . Novar

10/14/01